

REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action dated March 8, 2007, having a shortened statutory period set to expire June 8, 2007. In the present office action, Claims 1 and 8 are amended and Claims 4-7 are cancelled. Upon entry of the present amendment, Claims 1-3 and 8-14 will now be pending.

Applicant appreciates the time and courtesy extended by the Examiner during a June 11, 2007 teleconference. While no formal agreement was reached, Applicant's undersigned representative believes that the teleconference helped clarify the rejections, and what is being proposed in the present amendments. If the Examiner believes that another telephone call would be beneficial in promoting the presently pending claims to allowance, a call to the undersigned at 512.617.5533 would be greatly appreciated.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112

On Page 2 of the present Office Action, Claims 1 and 8 are rejected for being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner states that there appears to be three time periods as claimed: 1) a "before" pre-determined period of time; 2) an "after" pre-determined period of time; and 3) the predetermined period of time itself.

In order to clarify the claimed invention, the present amendment clarifies the feature as "a data converter for storing the first page and the next page of rasterized data as a moving image if the next page of rasterized data is received during a pre-determined period of time after storing the first page of rasterized data, and for storing the first page of rasterized data as a single image if the next page is received after the pre-determined period of time." Thus, there are two time frames. The first is "during a pre-determined period of time", and the second is "after the pre-determined period of time." If a second page is received during the pre-determined period of time, then the first and second pages are assumed to be part of a movie. If the second page is

received after the pre-determined period of time, then the two pages are assumed to be still pictures.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

On page 2 of the present Office Action, Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Bruning* (U.S. Patent No. 6,989,801 – “*Bruning*”). These claims are now cancelled, and thus the rejection is moot.

CONCLUSION

As the cited prior art does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the pending claims, Applicants respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The present Amendment requires a **one-month extension of time**, which is now requested. Please charge the attendant fee of **\$120.00** to **DILLON & YUDELL, LLP DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 50-3083**.

If any additional extension of time is needed, please consider that extension of time to be requested. Please charge any other fee, beyond the **\$120.00** charged to **DILLON & YUDELL, LLP DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 50-3083**, deemed necessary to further the prosecution of this application to **HITACHI DEPOSIT ACCOUNT No. 50-2587**.

Respectfully submitted,



James E. Boice
Registration No. 44,545
DILLON & YUDELL LLP
8911 North Capital of Texas Highway
Suite 2110
Austin, Texas 78759
512.343.6116

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)